TOWN OF UNION UNION, NEW YORK

-----x

In the Matter of:

Town Board Special Board Meeting

-----x

September 28, 2020 3111 East Main Street Endwell, New York 13760

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE TOWN OF UNION

RICHARD A. MATERESE Town Supervisor

THOMAS R. AUGOSTINI FRANK J. BERTONI SANDRA C. BAUMAN HEATHER R. STALEY Board Members

SARA ZUBALSKY-PEER Planning Director

ALAN POPE Town Attorney

ROSEMARIE POPE Deputy Town Attorney

LEONARD PERFETTI
Town Clerk

Digitally recorded proceeding, Transcribed by: Ria Jara Czerenda Court Reporting 71 State St. Binghamton, NY 13901

PROCEEDING 2 (On the record) 2 MR. RICHARD MATERESE: And then? MR. FRANK BERTONI: -- meeting after. 3 MR. MATERESE: And meet at 11:00 here. 4 5 MR. BERTONI: That's October 1st. 6 MR. MATERESE: It is. FEMALE VOICE: Correct. 7 MR. BERTONI: Payday. 8 9 MR. MATERESE: Payday. FEMALE VOICE: And that Fairmont Park is at 10 10:00? 11 12 MR. MATERESE: Fairmont Park is at 10:00. 13 FEMALE VOICE: And then come right here 14 afterwards. 15 MALE VOICE: We got one person online (sounds 16 like). 17 MR. MATERESE: (Interposing) do you get the --18 MR. BERTONI: It's the last day of the month, 19 right? 20 MR. MATERESE: For payday. Yes, for retirement 21 system, it's the last day. But if you're collecting 2.2 Social Security then it goes by your birthday. 23 MR. BERTONI: On the third Wednesday. 24 MR. MATERESE: That's what I have too. 25 MR. BERTONI: In honor of having our meetings 26 the same day. So I go, "Yay, I'm having a meeting and I

	PROCEEDING 3
1	get paid today."
2	MR. MATERESE: Although this month, September, I
3	got docked pay.
4	MR. BERTONI: You did?
5	MR. MATERESE: Because I made \$1,600 over the
6	limit last year.
7	MR. BERTONI: So, two for one.
8	MR. MATERESE: Yes. With this job, I can only
9	make up to 1,740 or 1,760.
10	MR. BERTONI: Can't you defer some of it or
11	anything?
12	MR. MATERESE: I don't think so.
13	MR. BERTONI: No?
14	MR. MATERESE: I don't know. I just there's
15	nothing to it. I don't know.
16	MR. BERTONI: It's stiff, one for one.
17	MR. MATERESE: Yeah. It's one for two, I think.
18	MR. BERTONI: For every \$100 you make, you got
19	to pay \$100. No, you're right. Yes, you're right. You
20	make \$200, you got to give them half.
21	FEMALE VOICE: Give them half of it.
22	MR. BERTONI: Got to give them half. What's the
23	limit? Is the limit still \$14,000?
24	MR. MATERESE: No, it's like I think it was
25	17,600 or 17 (interposing)
26	MR. BERTONI: Oh, man. You got a big bump
	II

there.

2.2

MR. MATERESE: Yes. Are you ready? Okay.

Let's call the meeting to order. Please rise for the Pleage of Allegiance.

ALL: I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. MATERESE: I'd like to welcome everybody to the special meeting of the Town of Union on September 28th. This is a special meeting dealing with our public hearing. Mr. Perfetti, would you read the announcement?

MR. LEONARD PERFETTI: First off, I'll read the -- please take notice that in accordance with the Emergency Order of the Governor of the State of New York, notice is hereby given that a public hearing is scheduled for Monday, September 28, 2020 at 7:01 or thereafter in the evening. In person attendance shall not exceed 50 percent capacity of the Town Hall Board Room, less the Town Board Members and the Town Employees. It is anticipated that the maximum number of the public who can be in attendance at the Town Hall for this Town Board Meeting and the public hearing would be 17 persons. All persons in attendance must wear face coverings to include their mouth and nose region. They must hand sanitize upon entering the meeting in the hand sanitize stations

provided by the Town. They must adhere to the social distancing guidelines and remain six feet apart at all times. Alternatively, or in the event that in person public attendance has met the maximum capacity, the public hearing would be accessible to the public via Zoom virtual meeting software. Any members of the public wishing to observe the meeting remotely are advised to access the meeting via Zoom Video Conference.

And this is a continuation of the eminent domain public hearing to address any written comments to the property owners or the public regarding subject property owned by Richard and Laurie Jones located at 5 and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York. At the close of the public hearing, hear the findings of the fact and determination and vote on the proceedings within eminent domain.

MR. MATERESE: So, do we have any written comments to be entered into the record?

MS. ROSE POPE: Yes. We received a letter from Al Panicha (phonetic) who's the attorney for Richard and Laurie Jones that was received today. I would like to add this letter and Mr. Panicha's comments into the record.

MR. MATERESE: Please do.

MS. POPE: Were there any other received? Is that it?

MALE VOICE: No.

2.2

1	MR. MATERESE: That was it.
2	MS. POPE: Okay.
3	MR. MATERESE: So then do I close this call
4	this to a close?
5	MS. POPE: Yes. If these are all the written
6	comments that we've received then we can now close the
7	public hearing.
8	MR. MATERESE: All right. Seeing that there are
9	no
10	MR. BERTONI: Sorry. Do we do that through a
11	motion?
12	MR. MATERESE: No. Seeing that there are no
13	other comments to read, I will call this public hearing to
14	a close. And now, I'll defer to our attorney.
15	MR. BERTONI: Do we need a second or anything
16	like that?
17	MR. MATERESE: No. No, just like
18	MR. BERTONI: Okay. I just want to make sure
19	we're doing it right.
20	MR. MATERESE: Yes. No, no, no. It's just like
21	regular hearing of visitors and that thing.
22	MS. POPE: I just want to explain something.
23	Now that we've closed the public hearing, I'm going to
24	make more comments and what the Town is going to do is
25	SEQRA for the eminent domain. And then we are going to do
26	a motion on whether to declare or make a negative

declaration to accept the SEQRA, that there is no significant environmental impact. Then I will read the determination and findings into the record. And the Board will vote to accept and move forward with eminent domain.

MR. BERTONI: In all of that, will there be discussion of what this letter contains?

MS. POPE: Yes. We can discuss that. Actually, my comments are going to address Mr. Panicha's letter. So if I could just start with those.

MR. MATERESE: Sure.

MS. POPE: Okay.

MR. MATERESE: Take it over.

MS. POPE: Thank you. Mr. Panicha's comments, he said that the public hearing notice is defective and that is, that is not true. The public hearing notice was for the September 21, 2020 special meeting we had for the public hearing. Originally, on September 2nd, the board meeting of September 2nd, we set the date of September 16th for the public hearing. But due to the holiday, Labor Day, we were not able to get the notice into the paper to allow us this special time to have the notice posted that we needed. So we called for a special meeting on September 4th that was properly noticed, to call for the September 21st hearing — I'm sorry, meeting and public hearing.

The notices that were served on the Jones never

2.2

1	stated September 16th. It was always September 21st.
2	Those were the notices they got. They were properly
3	served on the Jones via certified mail return receipt by
4	Coughlin & Gerhart, and also personally served by Oliver
5	and Associates on Laurie Jones with substitute service on
6	Richard Jones followed up by certified mail and later by
7	email to Mr. Jones, to Richard Jones, as he was not
8	personally served.
9	Those affidavits I previously put into the
10	record that are (audio glitch) public hearing last week.
11	So those affidavits are on there. So there was no
12	defective service or public hearing notice (inaudible).
13	MR. BERTONI: I'm sorry.
14	MS. POPE: Yes, you may ask some questions.
15	MR. BERTONI: So he makes the point that it has
16	to be at least 10 days prior to the public hearing.
17	MS. POPE: Correct. And it was.
18	MR. BERTONI: So give me the dates so that
19	MR. MATERESE: That's why we did it on the
20	when we originally were going to do it, we couldn't get it
21	in for 10 days prior. So we had a special meeting
22	MR. BERTONI: So, it's not 10 days from then
23	until now?
24	MS. POPE: It's 10 days prior to the 21st.
25	MR. BERTONI: Okay. (Interposing)
26	MR. MATERESE: Yes.

1	MR. BERTONI: All right. All right. Okay.
2	Thank you. (Interposing) All right. Thank you. Yes.
3	I'm sorry.
4	MS. POPE: Okay. No, that's fine.
5	MR. MATERESE: Let's get everything out and
6	clear.
7	MR. BERTONI: Yeah. No, that's right. That's
8	right.
9	MS. POPE: So those are already part of the
10	record. We also received today an affidavit of service
11	from the <i>Press and Sun-Bulletin</i> regarding their
12	publication of it. And I would like to also add that into
13	the record along with the other affidavits of service that
14	it was posted for the proper number of days to be posted
15	and also prior to the meeting.
16	As far as public need goes, the land that we
17	need, the easements that we need, we need them in
18	accordance with maps that were drawn by the engineer to do
19	this project, correct, Sara?
20	MS. SARA ZUBALSKY-PEER: Correct.
21	MS. POPE: So there is no other way to do this.
22	But this is the land that we need to properly do this
23	project. Is that correct, Sara? Is there anything to
24	add?
25	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Yes. I would just like to
26	add that the adjoining land that's adjacent to it is not

1 actually owned by the Town. It is actually the levee. And it is actually not Town property so we do not have 2 3 sufficient public property adjacent to their property to address (inaudible). 4 5 MR. MATERESE: That's the Army Corps who owns that, correct? 6 7 MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: The levee is maintained by the AC. But it's actually located on Mr. Olsen's 8 9 (phonetic) property. 10 MS. POPE: And then the next subject was an 11 offer stating that there was not a written offer made. 12 There was an offer made via email between Sara Zubalsky-13 Peer and Laurie Jones where she shared the appraisal and 14 also shared the appraisal value for the property, and then 15 asked if the Jones were willing to proceed with the 16 easement acquisition. Sara received an email back from Laurie Jones stating that they disagreed with the \$2,400. 17 So the offer was made and rejected. These two emails I'd 18 19 also would like to add to the record regarding the offer. 20 SEQRA, we generally do SEQRA after we close the 21 public which is what we're going to do tonight. However, 2.2 SEQRA was done by the Planning Board back in June and July for project approval. 23 24 MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Yes.

> MS. POPE: So we do have the Planning Board SEQRA where they found a negative declaration and no

25

1	significant environmental impact. Tonight, the Town Board
2	is going to do their own SEQRA with regard to the eminent
3	domain which we will do after my comments.
4	MR. MATERESE: Excuse me, just to clarify.
5	Sara, you acknowledged that the Planning Board did do a
6	SEQRA back in whenever it was, correct?
7	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Correct - June and July.
8	MR. MATERESE: I just want you to speak up.
9	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Yes.
10	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
11	MS. POPE: Yes. And I'm adding that SEQRA to
12	the record as well, the Planning Board SEQRA.
13	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
14	MS. POPE: And so we've got it on the line.
15	Thank you. That's all I got, unless (inaudible).
16	FEMALE VOICE: Those are your comments to the
17	letter that came from the attorney?
18	MS. POPE: Uh-hmm.
19	FEMALE VOICE: Okay.
20	MS. POPE: Are you ready to move on?
21	MR. MATERESE: Two.
22	FEMALE VOICE: Yes.
23	MS. POPE: Thank you.
24	FEMALE VOICE: I'm ready.
25	MR. MATERESE: Do you want to speak to the last
26	paragraph on the second page there?

1	MS. POPE: No.
2	MR. MATERESE: No?
3	MS. POPE: That's probably the SEQRA.
4	MR. MATERESE: Well, what they're asking for.
5	Treat it as a Type 1 action.
6	MS. POPE: No. Because ours, we're not going to
7	do that. (Inaudible)
8	MR. MATERESE: Right. But I just want to read
9	say that out loud or no?
10	MS. POPE: No.
11	MR. MATERESE: Okay.
12	MS. POPE: It's part of the record through Mr.
13	Panicha's letter.
14	MR. MATERESE: Okay.
15	MS. POPE: Are we ready to move on, Frank, or
16	(interposing)?
17	MR. BERTONI: I'm sorry?
18	MS. POPE: Are we ready to move on, Frank or do
19	you have
20	MR. BERTONI: I'm sorry?
21	MS. POPE: Are you ready to move on or do you
22	have another?
23	MR. BERTONI: No, I'm ready. I'm ready.
24	MS. POPE: All right. So, next, we'll be moving
25	on to the Town's SEQRA review. I would just like to
26	briefly review the Planning Board SEQRA. So the Town

Board met in June and July. This took place in June and July (inaudible). Okay. And they did the short environmental assessment form. This was done because a special permit was needed to proceed with the project. They found that this is a permitted use under zoning regulations consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan. It's consistent with the character of the natural landscape neighborhood. It will not result in a substantial increase in traffic. Public transportation services are available and are near and pedestrian accommodations are near the site as well. It does not exceed energy code requirements. It will connect to existing public and private water supply. It won't connect to existing waste water.

2.2

It's not contiguous to archaeological or historic places. It says it won't physically alter, encroach into any existing wetland or water body. It is located in a 100-year floodplain but (inaudible) on that. It won't create any storm water discharge. There won't be any impoundment of water or other liquids during construction. There are no solid waste issues.

So we found that when we went through their assessment of whether it would impact the neighborhood, change in traffic, changes in the land, and any other environmental impacts it would have, there was no or small impacts may occur.

1	MR. BERTONI: So do we have to is it like a
2	thing? Or is it like one, two, three or something?
3	MS. POPE: This is just to check. When I asked
4	the questions this is just to (inaudible), excuse me, what
5	the Planning Board determined.
6	MR. BERTONI: And just to clarify, we're only
7	talking about
8	MS. POPE: The two lands.
9	MR. BERTONI: the land where we're trying
10	to take?
11	MS. POPE: Correct. Not the whole project.
12	MR. BERTONI: This is not the whole project.
13	MR. MATERESE: Correct.
14	MR. BERTONI: This is just this piece of land.
15	Right.
16	MS. POPE: This was the Planning Board for the
17	whole Project. We are only, as the Town Board SEQRA,
18	concentrating on the two parcels of land by the southern
19	border (sounds like).
20	MR. BERTONI: Okay.
21	MS. POPE: And in the determination, I won't
22	read the whole thing, but the proposed action will not
23	result in any significant negative impacts to cultural,
24	historic, natural, socioeconomic resources. It will not
25	result in significant or long-term increases of traffic,

noise, need for emergency services or strain on public

1	utilities. The utility improvements will not have
2	significant negative impacts on the ground, flora, fauna,
3	endangered or threatened species, water or air. And then
4	we determined a negative declaration and no significant
5	impact. And so that is just the Planning Board's
6	determination.
7	MR. MATERESE: And you entered that into the
8	record?
9	MS. POPE: Right. This has been entered into
LO	the record.
L1	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
L2	MS. POPE: Okay. So now your turn, this is
L3	yours - okay - for the Town, and sorry but I don't have to
L 4	read it.
L5	MR. MATERESE: Excuse me, Rose. Do we need a
L 6	roll call on all of these or just everybody (interposing)?
L7	MS. POPE: It'll be you will call for a
L8	resolution.
L 9	MR. MATERESE: No, when we're going through
20	this.
21	MS. POPE: No. Well, everybody needs to discuss
22	and then we'll say, you know, we'll say
23	MR. MATARESE: And so we don't need a roll call
24	vote?
25	MS. POPE: No. No. Not on everything.
26	MR MATERESE: Okay

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

MS. POPE: So just saying do you think there's no or small impact may occur or moderate to large impact may occur, when I get to the questions.

> MR. MATERESE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. POPE: Okay. So the name of the actual project is the Fairmont Park Water Main Improvement eminent domain easements on 5 Woodland Avenue, temporary and terminating easements on 7 Woodland Avenue. just a temporary easement. The project location is 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue in Johnson City, New York.

A brief description of the proposed action. The proposed Town Project will bring the current public water distribution system up to current health department standards to supply the existing demand of the Fairmont Park Subdivision neighborhood and any new construction. The new system will have adequately sized water lines and will be looped for current health department standards. The water line is to be removed and replaced and located in the existing privately-owned private property. Eminent domain is required for the overall safety and benefit of the public on the two lots namely, 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue in Johnson City, Town of Union, New York. Does that cover it, Sara?

MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Yes.

MS. POPE: Thank you. The name of the advocate

sponsors, the Town of Union, contacted Sara with their contact information. And does the proposed action only involve legislative adoption of the plan of the law, ordinance and resolution or regulation? No. Private space (sounds like). I'll let you know about it too.

Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or support funding, from any other government agency? Yes, the funding came from the County and Community Development block grant funds from the U.S. Housing and Urban Development.

Next it talks about total acreage but here with the easements it would be a little different. So the land to be acquired by the easement 5 Woodland Avenue temporary easement is 1,687 square feet; 5 Woodland Avenue, the permanent easement is 3,620 square feet. At 7 Woodland Avenue, the temporary easement is 122 square feet. And this is occurring on residential property.

The proposed action is permitted under the zoning regulations and it is consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan. It's consistent with the predominant character of the existing natural landscape. The site does not adjoin a state critically listed environmental area. It will not result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels. Public transportation is available. Pedestrian accommodations likewise are also available.

It won't exceed state energy code requirements.

Will it connect to existing public, private water supply?

Yes. Will it connect to existing waste water utilities?

No. Does the project site contain or is it substantially contiguous to a building, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of

Historic Places or that has been determined by the

Commissioner of the New York State Office of Parks,

Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for the listing? The answer is no.

2.2

Does any portion of this site of the proposed action lands adjoining proposed -- adjoining proposed action contain wetlands or other water bodies regulated by federal, state, or local agency? No. Will it physically alter or encroach into any existing wetland or water body?

The typical habitat is approved. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal or associated habitats listed by the state or federal government as threatened or endangered? No.

Is the project site in a 100-year floodplain?

Yes. Will the proposed action create storm water

discharge in either point or nonpoint sources? No. Does

the proposed action include construction or other

activities that will result in the impoundment of water

out of wetlands? No.

Is the site of the proposed action adjoining 1 property in the location of an active or closed water 2 3 waste management facility? No. Is the site of the proposed action or adjoining property been the subject of 4 5 remediation for hazardous waste? No. Okay. That was 6 Part 1. 7 MR. MATERESE: Yes. MS. POPE: Okay. Now, Part 2, the questions 8 9 I've asked, I'm going to ask the Board to please provide their input to each of these. Ultimately, you're going to 10 11 determine whether there's no or small impact may occur or 12 moderate to large impact may occur. 13 MR. BERTONI: No small impact or no --14 MS. POPE: No or small impact or moderate to 15 large impact may occur. 16 MR. BERTONI: Moderate to large. Okay. 17 MR. MATERESE: One of the two. 18 MS. POPE: Yes. Number one: Will the proposed 19 action create a material conflict with the adopted land 20 use plan or zoning regulations? So, the installation of 21 that pipe on 5 Woodland conflict with the adopted land use 2.2 plans zoning regulation. 23 MR. BERTONI: No. 24 MR. MATERESE: Not that I can see. 25 FEMALE VOICE: No.

FEMALE VOICE: No. It's underground.

	PROCEEDING 20
1	MS. POPE: Number two: Will the proposed action
2	result in change in the use or intensity of use of land?
3	MR. BERTONI: No.
4	MR. MATERESE: It can't. It's underground, so
5	no.
6	FEMALE VOICE: No.
7	MS. POPE: Will the proposed action impair the
8	character or quality of the existing community?
9	MR. MATERESE: Impair?
L 0	MS. POPE: Impair the character or quality of
11	the existing community?
L2	MR. BERTONI: No. No.
L3	FEMALE VOICE: No.
L 4	FEMALE VOICE: No.
L5	MR. MATERESE: No.
L 6	MS. POPE: Will the proposed action have an
L7	impact on the environmental characteristics that cause the
L8	establishment of a critical environmental area, which it
L 9	didn't in this case. So the answer to that would be no.
20	FEMALE VOICE: No.
21	MR. MATERESE: I agree.
22	MS. POPE: Just so it's clear, we determined
23	prior to that if there was one.
24	Will the proposed action result in adverse
25	change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing
26	infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?

1 ALL: No. 2 MS. POPE: I will say when construction is happening there will be more traffic due to construction. 3 But that is just temporary while the construction is being 4 5 done or the pipe is being installed. Correct, Sara? 6 MS. ZUBALSY-PEER: Correct. 7 MS. POPE: Okay. MR. MATERESE: And most of the work is already 8 9 accomplished, just this one piece. So it shouldn't be that long of a disturbance in the neighborhood. 10 11 MS. ZUBALSY-PEER: Correct. 12 MR. BERTONI: So is there a difference between 13 the work that's being done and the overall impact after 14 the project's done? MS. POPE: It's saying would it impact -- after 15 16 the project's done, will there be an impact? Will there 17 be more traffic? 18 MR. BERTONI: No. 19 MR. MATERESE: No. 20 FEMALE VOICE: No. 21 MR. BERTONI: No. But during the project there 2.2 will be. 23 MS. POPE: Temporarily there will be during 24 construction, right. 25 MR. BERTONI: Okay.

MS. POPE: So the consensus is no or small

MR. BERTONI: It's not --

1	MR. MATERESE: No.
2	MR. BERTONI: not applicable, right?
3	FEMALE VOICE: No.
4	MS. POPE: Number eight: Will the proposed
5	action impair the character or quality of important
6	historic archaeological, architectural or aesthetic
7	resources?
8	ALL: No.
9	MR. BERTONI: We already looked at that, right?
LO	So, no.
L1	MS. POPE: Nine: Will the proposed action
L2	result in the adverse change to natural resources? The
L3	wetlands, water body, groundwater, air quality, flora and
L 4	fauna?
L5	MR. MATERESE: No.
L 6	FEMALE VOICE: No.
L7	FEMALE VOICE: No.
L8	MR. BERTONI: No.
L 9	MR. MATERESE: Again, there might be some
20	temporary change of habitat for something until we get out
21	of there and then things go back.
22	MS. POPE: If there's anything there.
23	MR. MATERESE: Right.
24	MS. POPE: it's just, you know, excavating the
25	ground and then replacing
26	MR. MATERESE: Maybe a little rabbit running

2.2

around.

MR. BERTONI: But it's not like going through a wetland sort of or anything like that.

MR. MATERESE: No. Yes, we're not disturbing anything like that.

MR. BERTONI: Right.

MS. POPE: Okay. Ten: Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?

MR. BERTONI: No.

MR. MATERESE: No.

FEMALE VOICE: No.

FEMALE VOICE: No.

MS. POPE: Number 11: Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources to human health?

ALL: No.

MS. POPE: Okay. So I'm going to read Part 3. That finishes Part 2. Thank you.

I'm going to read Part 3: Determination of Significance. The Town of Union received federal funding for the construction of water infrastructure improvements in the Fairmont Park Subdivision. The proposed project will upgrade the water mains to eight-inch lines and will loop the system for current health department standards. The proposed action will not result in any significant

negative impacts to cultural or historical or natural or 1 socioeconomic resources. It will not result in 2 significant or long-term increases in traffic, noise, need 3 emergency services or strain on public utilities. 4 The water line improvements will not have 5 6 significant negative impacts on the ground, flora, fauna, 7 endangered or threatened species, water or the air. Excuse me. The installation of new water mains would be 8 9 underground and therefore the proposed action will not raise base ground elevation. 10 11 Upon review of the information submitted, the 12 proposed action will not have a significant adverse impact 13 to the environment. It will in fact have a significant 14 positive impact on the public water supply system in the 15 Fairmont Park Subdivision. 16 MR. MATERESE: Do you want us to agree with that 17 or just that you're kind of --18 MS. POPE: You can vote to agree to accept it. 19 MR. MATERESE: Right. Okay. 20 MS. POPE: So now that we have read this and 21 gone through it and answered the questions a little bit, I 2.2 may need you to sign it. 23 MR. MATERESE: Right now? 24 MS POPE: By the end of the meeting. 25 MR. MATERESE: Okay.

MS. POPE: I'll leave it for your signature,

1	okay? Now, I need you as the board to offer a resolution
2	to declare, based on your review, to declare no, it's
3	not that resolution. It's just a resolution you're going
4	to offer to declare or maybe a declaration of finding no
5	significant environmental impact.
6	MR. BERTONI: I make a motion that we make a
7	negative declaration concerning this taking or the
8	project.
9	MS. POPE: And that there's no significant
10	finding of impact.
11	MR. BERTONI: And no significant finding of
12	impact.
13	MS. BAUMAN: I second.
14	MR. MATERESE: Okay. You've heard the
15	resolution as proposed by Mr. Bertoni, seconded by Ms.
16	Bauman. Are there any questions about what we're voting
17	on? Hearing none, Mr. Perfetti, roll call, please.
18	MR. PERFETTI: Staley.
19	MS. STALEY: Aye.
20	MR. PERFETTI: Bauman.
21	MS. BAUMAN: Aye.
22	MR. PERFETTI: Bertoni.
23	MR. BERTONI: Aye.
24	MR. PERFETTI: Materese.
25	MR. MATERESE: Aye. The resolution is adapted.
0.0	MG DODE 01 E1 1 T 11 1

MS. POPE: Okay. Thank you. I will leave it

	PROCEEDING 2
1	for your signature.
2	MR. MATERESE: I can do it right now. So now,
3	do we go to Frank's resolution?
4	MS. POPE: I have to read the findings and the
5	resolution. Okay, now that we have the SEQRA portion,
6	we'll be moving to the determination and findings that I
7	will read. And then the Town Board will vote on to
8	determine if the Board would agree, moving forward with
9	the eminent domain question. It just comes out.
10	MR. MATERESE: It's this plan or something?
11	MS. POPE: No, it's just the update (sounds
12	like).
13	MR. MATERESE: So right there at the bottom,
14	write my name or print my name. Sign it and change the
15	title.
16	MS. POPE: Let me know when you're ready. I'm
17	going to start reading.
18	MR. MATERESE: Did you say there were two of
19	these?
20	MS. POPE: There should be two of them. And
21	there's a separate piece attached there.
22	MR. MATERESE: Got it.
23	MR. BERTONI: There you go.
24	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
25	MS. POPE: Okay. I am going to read the

determination and findings. It is four pages long. I

will try to do this as quickly as I can.

Okay. This is the determination and findings pursuant to Section 204 of Eminent Domain Procedure Law. The Town of Union Project sponsored Fairmont Park Water Main Project, Town of Union, Broome County, New York, dated September 28, 2020. A public hearing was held on September 21, 2020 at the Town of Union Board Room, Endwell, New York in accordance with the provisions of Article 2 of the New York State, Eminent Domain Procedure Law in connection with the proposed implementation of the Fairmont Park Water Main Project, also known as the Project.

Implementation of the proposed project would require easements on two parcels, namely 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue in the Town of Union. This will be the proposed easement acquisitions. As provided by Section 202 of the Eminent Domain Procedure Law, a notice of the public hearing was personally served and sent via certified mail to the affected property owners. The hearing notice was also published in the Press and Sun-Bulletin as well as on Town of Union website, advising the public that a hearing will be held on September 21, 2020. And that comments would be received concerning the public use, benefits, and purposes to be served by the proposed project and proposed easement acquisitions.

The September 21, 2020 hearing provided an

opportunity for the public to comment on the project. At the hearing, the Deputy Town Attorney and the Director of Planning for the Town presented information concerning the public use, benefits, and purposes to be served by the proposed project and the proposed easement acquisitions, the proposed project location and the reasons for the selection of those locations and the general effect of the proposed project on the environment and residents. The record of hearing remained open for written comments until September 28, 2020.

2.2

Now, verbal comments from the property owners
Richard Jones and Laurie Jones at the hearing, objected to
the easements on both 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland
Avenue. A letter from Richard and Laurie Jones' attorney,
Al Panicha, stating his objection to the proceeding was
received by the Town Clerk on September 28th.

The Town made available copies of the hearing transcript for public inspection, the notice of public hearing, the authority upon which the proposed easement acquisitions are based, and maps of the proposed easement acquisitions. Pursuant to Section 204, Section (B)(1) of the Eminent Domain Procedure Law and having given due consideration to the complete hearing record which includes all documents submitted, the Town of Union makes the following determination and findings with respect to the proposed project and proposed easement acquisitions

for the proposed project.

2.2

The project description. The proposed project will bring the current public water distribution system up to current health department standards. The existing water distribution system is not adequately sized for the supply demand of the neighborhood and is unable to allow for new construction as currently configured due to health department regulations that are more stringent than when the subdivision was originally built.

The existing water mains are three-quarter inch and one-inch lines. Additionally, the lines are not looped and the current system requires periodic hydrant flushing. This project will upsize the water lines to eight-inch lines and will loop the system, thus, providing increased public safety and increased public health by ensuring adequate water supply, volume, and pressure.

The project is located in the Fairmont Park neighborhood in the Town of Union, New York and requires temporary and permanent easements on portions of privately owned property located at 5 and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York. The project requires 1,687 square feet and 122 square feet for temporary easements to allow for excavation and construction of the water mains on 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue respectively. The project requires 3,620 square feet at 5 Woodland Avenue for a permanent easement to allow for future maintenance

of the water mains as needed. These easements are required in order to loop the system for health department standards.

The property at 5 Woodland Avenue is adjacent to the existing earthen levee that provides flood protection to the neighborhood. The water mains must be located as designed due to limits of excavation placed on the design due to the proximity of the toe of the levee. Because of the health department requirements, the location of the existing levee, and the stated goal of addressing public safety concerns related to deficiencies in the existing water distribution system, the project cannot be located anywhere other than the proposed location.

Preferred alternatives. During the preliminary design concept, the Town of Union considered alternatives that would protect the health and property of residents and property owners within the Fairmont Park neighborhood. These alternatives included: (1) no action alternative; (2) no looping alternative; (3) construction on Town-owned property only alternative; and, (4) the proposed action. The Town determined the only practical alternative is to address the inadequate water distribution system by upsizing the water distribution lines and looping the system to provide adequate water supply to residents of the neighborhood.

This determination is based on: (1) the project

purpose of providing improved public health and safety benefits through adequate water supply to residents in the Fairmont Park neighborhood and meeting current health department standards; (2) the need to provide increased fire suppression capabilities to the Fairmont Park neighborhood; (3) to prevent the need for periodic hydrant flushing to ensure adequate water distribution in Fairmont Park; (4) to allow for reinvestment in the neighborhood and opportunity for new construction; (5) the ability to mitigate risk and minimize impacts on public health for the Town citizens; and (6) to prevent encroachment on and the potential compromising of the integrity of the existing earthen levee that provides flood protection to Fairmont Park.

Public benefits of the project. The improved water distribution system provided by the project will benefit the public by increasing daily quality of life with increased water volume and pressure for residents in Fairmont Park; providing updated public infrastructure assets that meet current health department standards; and most importantly, providing increased health and safety for citizens.

Temporary and permanent easements. The project is located in the Fairmont Park neighborhood in the Town of Union, New York and requires temporary and permanent easements on portions of privately-owned property located

at 5 and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York. The project requires 1,687 square feet and 122 square feet for temporary easements to allow for excavation and construction of the water mains at 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue respectively. The project requires 3,620 square feet at 5 Woodland Avenue for a permanent easement to allow for future maintenance of the water mains as needed. These easements are required in order to loop the system for health department standards. The property at 5 Woodland Avenue is adjacent to an existing earthen levee. It provides flood protection to the neighborhood.

2.2

The water mains must be located as designed due to the limits of excavation based on the design due to the proximity of the toe of the levee. Because of the health department requirements, the location of the existing levee, and the stated goal of addressing public safety concerns related to deficiencies in the existing water distribution system, this project cannot be located anywhere other than the proposed location. Easement maps will be attached to this determination as (indiscernible).

Effects of the project on the environment. The proposed project underwent a thorough and proper review under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the National Environmental Protection Act through the Town Planning Board that was the lead agency due to the funding of the project. The environmental assessment forms

required by SEQRA were reviewed and a negative declaration was declared that the proposed project will have no or small impacts on the environment and no significant impacts were found.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

Additionally, the Town Board did its own SEQRA review as part of the eminent domain proceeding. The Town Board reviewed the Planning Board SEQRA forms in determination and based on this, along with its own environmental review including impacts on traffic, noise, any changes to natural resources, any changes to the character of the neighborhood, and user intensity land through its own SEQRAs, the Town Board made a negative declaration and the proposed the project would have no or small impacts on the (audio glitch) and no significant (audio glitch) relevant project considerations. implementation of the proposed project started in July of 2020 and will be completed upon the acquisition of the temporary and permanent easements. The implementation of the proposed project has little to no impact on the public.

Additional information and context. This determination and findings have been made in consideration of statements submitted by the public both during and after the public hearing held for this project. Copies of this determination and findings will be forwarded upon written request at no cost. All documents provided at the

September 21, 2020 public hearing and this continuation of the public hearing on September 28, 2020, and the complete determination and findings are available for review at the Town Clerk's Office, 3111 East Main Street, Endwell, New York 13760.

Those property owners who may wish to challenge condemnation of their property via judicial review may do so only on the basis of issues, facts, and objections raised at the hearing pursuant to Section 202, Section (c)(2) of Article 2 of the Eminent Domain Procedure Law. Each individual assessment record billing owner or the property owner or his or her attorney-of-record whose property may be acquired shall note that under Section 207(a) of the Eminent Domain Procedure Law, a petition to seek judicial review of the condemnor's determination and findings must be filed within 30 days after the condemnor's completion of its publication of its determination and findings.

Further, the exclusive venue for judicial review of the determination and findings is the Appellate

Division of the Supreme Court in the judicial department where any part of the property to be condemned is located.

Further information on this project is available on request during normal working hours and direct inquiries to Sara Zubalsky-Peer at her contact information.

1	MR. MATERESE: Thank you. Good job. Could you
2	please repeat from like the second paragraph?
3	MS. POPE: Sure. And I do want to say that one
4	other item that I wanted to put into the record which I
5	forgot was the NEPA determination that was part of
6	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: No. That was actually
7	something I had to do for HUD before we got the release of
8	funds.
9	MS. POPE: Right. And it addresses
LO	environmental issues as well, correct?
L1	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: Yes.
L2	MS. POPE: And what is it then?
L3	MS. ZUBALSKY-PEER: NEPA review.
L 4	MS. POPE: The NEPA review. So I want to also
L5	add that into the record because that addresses
L 6	environmental issues along with Town Board SEQRA.
L7	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
L8	MS. POPE: I'm done now. Thank you.
L9	MR. MATERESE: Okay. So now is it Frank's turn?
20	MS. POPE: Yes. Thank you (inaudible).
21	MR. MATERESE: Okay. So we have a resolution
22	from which committee is this - Environmental Committee?
23	MS. POPE: No, Planning and Zoning Committee.
24	MR. MATERESE: Planning and Zoning Committee.
25	Mr. Bertoni will you the lead this day?
26	MR. BERTONI: Yes. Whereas the Town Board held
	II

a public hearing on September 21, 2020 pursuant to Article 2 of the New York State Eminent Domain Procedure Law to hear comments from the public with respect to taking of an easement interest in property owned by Richard Jones and Laurie Jones located at 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York, 13790, Tax Map numbers 142.11-1-52 and 142.11-1-28 respectively in connection with the Town of Union public safety and improvement project known as the Town of Union Fairmont Park Water Main Project.

And whereas the Town concluded the public hearing on September 28, 2020 and it has been recommended that the Town Board approve the eminent domain determination pursuant to Article 2 of the New York State Eminent Domain Procedure Law with respect to the taking of an easement interest in property owned by Richard Jones and Laurie Jones located at 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York, 13790, Tax Map numbers 142.11-1-52 and 142.11-1-28 respectively in connection with the Town of Union public safety and improvement project known as the Town of Union Fairmont Park Water Main Project.

Now therefore it is resolved that the Town Board hereby approves the eminent domain determination pursuant to Article 2 of the New York State Eminent Domain

Procedure Law with respect to the taking of an easement

interest in property owned by Richard Jones and Laurie Jones located at 5 Woodland Avenue and 7 Woodland Avenue, Johnson City, New York, 13790, Tax Map numbers 142.11-1-52 and 142.11-1-28 respectively in connection with the Town of Union Public Safety and Improvement Project known as the Town of Union Fairmont Park Water Main Project.

It is further resolved that the Supervisor, Town Clerk, Town Attorney, Deputy Town Attorney or other Town official or employee so designated by the Town Board shall be authorized to file, post, publish, and serve the abovementioned determination as may be required to implement this resolution.

And it is further resolved that the Town

Attorney and Deputy Town Attorney are authorized to

proceed with the filing of the petition and related

documents with the Broome County Supreme Court to obtain

an order granting the Town permission to file the easement

maps and take ownership of the easement interest in the

properties.

MS. BAUMAN: I second.

MR. MATERESE: You've heard the proposed resolution well-read by Mr. Bertoni, seconded by Ms. Bauman. Are there any questions? Hearing none, Mr. Perfetti, roll call vote, please.

MR. PERFETTI: Staley.

MS. STALEY: Aye.

2.2

	PROCEEDING 39
1	MR. PERFETTI: Bauman.
2	MS. BAUMAN: Aye.
3	MR. PERFETTI: Bertoni.
4	MR. BERTONI: Aye.
5	MR. PERFETTI: Materese.
6	MR. MATERESE: Aye. The resolution is adapted.
7	MR. BERTONI: And then Lenny will fill out this,
8	right?
9	MR. MATERESE: Yes.
LO	FEMALE VOICE: Yes, I'm going to start probably
L1	(indiscernible) to him.
L2	MR. BERTONI: Do you need that back?
L3	FEMALE VOICE: No, I don't.
L 4	MR. BERTONI: Okay. That's showing the
L5	MR. MATERESE: The resolution.
L 6	MR. BERTONI: All right. Thank you.
L7	MR. MATERESE: So are we done with that now?
L8	FEMALE VOICE: Yes.
L 9	MR. MATERESE: So having no other business
20	before the Board this evening, Mr. Bertoni?
21	MR. BERTONI: I make a motion we adjourn.
22	MR. MATERESE: You've heard the motion. Wait,
23	we need a second.
24	MS. STALEY: I second.
25	MR. MATERESE: We have a motion by Mr. Bertoni,
26	seconded by Ms. Staley to adjourn at 7:55. Is there any

	PROCEEDING 40
1	discussion? All those in favor say aye.
2	ALL: Aye.
3	MR. MATERESE: Opposed say nay. We are
4	adjourned.
5	FEMALE VOICE: Thank you.
6	MR. MATERESE: Thank you.
7	MR. BERTONI: Thank you, Rose.
8	(Off the record)
9	
10	
11	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
12	I, Ria Jara, certify that the foregoing transcript of
13	proceedings in the Union Town Board Meeting, Union, State of
14	New York, was prepared using digital transcription software and
15	is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
16	
17	
18	Dia Turan
19	Signature
20	Date: October 2, 2020