TOWN OF UNION BROOME COUNTY, NEW YORK -----X In the Matter of: Local Development Corporation Board Meeting _____X March 18, 2021 3111 East Main Street Endwell, New York 13760 **APPEARANCES:** FOR THE TOWN OF UNION STEPHEN TRICHKA Board President DIANE JULIAN GARY LEIGHTON JAMES PEDUTO JEFFREY L'AMOREAUX TIMOTHY STRONG MARY O'MALLEY-TRUMBLE (absent) Board Members JOSEPH MOODY Director ROSEMARIE POPE, ESQ. LDC Board Attorney JANEEN SCHRANN, CPA CPA, Piaker & Lyons RICHARD A. MATERESE Town Supervisor ROB SHIMER Administrative Assistant Digitally recorded proceeding, Transcribed by: Ria Jara Czerenda Court Reporting 71 State St. Binghamton, NY 13901

	PROCEEDING 2
1	(On the record)
2	MR. ROB SHIMER: I'll hit record here, too.
3	MR. JOSEPH MOODY: Okay. Go ahead, Steve. Call
4	the meeting.
5	MR. STEPHEN TRICHKA: All right. I'm just going
6	to pull up the agenda. All right, let's call the meeting
7	to order. Good morning, everybody. The first order of
8	business would be to approve the minutes of the January
9	21, 2021 regular meeting which are up on the board here.
10	MR. MOODY: The meeting called to order at 8:00?
11	MR. TRICHKA: Yes.
12	MR. MOODY: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. TRICHKA: And so the package you got from
14	Joe by email has the meeting notes. So if there are any
15	corrections to those minutes, I'd appreciate hearing them.
16	And barring any corrections, may I have the motion to
17	approve?
18	MR. JEFFREY L'AMOREAUX: I so move. Jeff.
19	MR. JIM PEDUTO: Jim, second.
20	MR. TRICHKA: Thank you. All in favor?
21	ALL: Aye.
22	MR. TRICHKA: Opposed? Okay. Thank you very
23	much. And we'll just move on. Good morning, everybody.
24	It's a gloomy morning, a gloomy foggy morning. But hope
25	is in the air. It seems, you know, we get the vaccines,
26	we're starting to open up a little bit. And we'll get all
I	I

	PROCEEDING 3
1	of that. Probably there's at least a fair number of us
2	who have had a couple of doses by the time we get together
3	again. So, I'm looking forward to that, looking forward
4	to going back to the office. Looking forward to looking
5	like a normal human being every day, like Jim.
6	MR. PERDUTO: And I've been vaccinated.
7	MR. TRICHKA: I've got one dose. I've got one
8	so far. So anyway, good morning, everybody. And let's
9	have a good meeting. Joe, I'll turn it over to you.
10	MR. MOODY: Yeah. I'd just like to welcome
11	Janeen Schrann who's in charge of our audit with Piaker &
12	Lyons. Welcome, Janeen. I appreciate all the work you've
13	done and your staff.
14	MS. JANEEN SCHRANN: Thank you.
15	MR. MOODY: Yeah. That's it.
16	MS. SCHRANN: Good morning, everyone.
17	ALL: Good morning.
18	MR. MOODY: That's all I have to say, Steve.
19	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. So we could go on. I'm
20	guessing there's no old business?
21	MR. MOODY: No old business. No.
22	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. All right, so we're going
23	to do business. We're on the audit prep. Janeen, I don't
24	know if you want to share a screen to show documents or do
25	you want me to do it? I have them all up and I'm ready to
26	go. But if you (interposing)
I	1

	PROCEEDING 4
1	MS. SCHRANN: You would definitely be able to do
2	it much quicker than me. So why don't you go ahead? I
3	think you just have the draft of both the board
4	communication letter. Yes, that. And then the financial
5	statement. I don't know which one you want to prefer to
6	go over first.
7	MR. TRICHKA: Why don't we do this first since
8	I've got it up? We'll do that. Thank you.
9	MS. SCHRANN: Okay. On the screen is the board
10	communication letter. It's a mandatory letter for all
11	audits across the board regardless of the industry. And
12	it's just there's some key points that we have to hit on.
13	So this is no different than any letter that we've given
14	you in the last few years. This is the letter that we
15	would have to explain (sounds like) any difficulties we
16	had during the audit, which of course, there were none.
17	If there were any misstatements or corrections that we
18	made that the board or that management had decided not to
19	make, which of course, there was none. Any disagreements,
20	we have to explain the management representation that we
21	are required to get for any audit where we're saying that
22	management does have a responsibility to the numbers and
23	to the internal control that we are testing and auditing.
24	So I guess I should say that there is nothing
25	alarming in this letter that we had to state. Of course
26	sometimes, is there? No. Or yes, there is but not for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

the LDC this year. So we did explain that -- what we are also required to do is to outline, like we do in one of the footnotes, the key estimates. And we do state that the key estimate really for the entity is the compensated absences. Because a lot of times really that is just an estimate and maybe the reserve for doubtful loans which, you know, we will talk about. We do have a footnote this year on the write-off of the bad debt for the one loan and your usage of the prior year reserve in doing so. Really, I think that there's nothing alarming in relation to this letter.

For government agencies, a lot of times, for your reporting bodies, you need to provide a management letter. And a management letter is typically something going to the management versus really the board explaining that, hey, here's some things that we feel that you should improve on or, you know, recommendations for doing something differently. And really, we haven't really had a management letter similar to that being issued over the last few years. However, for your reporting body, when you upload the documents, you are required to provide a management letter.

And for other government agencies where I have that similar situation as you guys do, that I have provided this board communication letter as -- you know, upload that as the management letter. And I think that

	PROCEEDING 6
1	was really what my proposal was this year to do. I think
2	in the past, you know, when I've taken your audit over
3	I think this is my second year.
4	MR. MOODY: Yes, I believe so.
5	MS. SCHRANN: When Anne (phonetic) fell ill and
6	retired, I took over the account. And I think what I
7	found is that the management letter that we were issuing,
8	it really isn't really a management letter per se. But I
9	think it was used as a document to upload, to fulfill your
10	requirements.
11	So I did talk to Joe particularly about this the
12	other day that I would suggest instead, similar to what
13	other agencies or other entities for government that I do,
14	that we use the board communication letter which is as
15	close as you can get to a management letter as you would
16	have. So this letter that is in front of us right now is
17	the letter that I would suggest you upload as a management
18	letter.
19	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. And Janeen, obviously, I
20	guess this reinforced the key points that there are no
21	material disagreements with management or anything like
22	that.
23	MS. SCHRANN: That's correct.
24	MR. TRICHKA: Or other matters that you found as
25	far as findings. Okay. Good. Thank you.
26	MS. SCHRANN: No. It's very good.
_	I I

II

	PROCEEDING 7
1	MR. TRICHKA: And so, flip over to the
2	MS. SCHRANN: Okay. So I have I will present
3	it. So if there's anything that you've found (sounds
4	like) or if you want me to move faster, just let me know.
5	But I'll hit the key points that I typically do in my
6	board presentations, and then you can ask. Just jump in
7	anytime with any questions. The first thing in the
8	(interposing)
9	MR. TRICHKA: Tell me what page where you
10	want me to be and then I'll get there.
11	MS. SCHRANN: Okay. So you can pass the
12	contents, the list of contents. And we'll go right into
13	right there, the independent auditor's report.
14	Okay. So we'll start here. This is the
15	important reason and this is the reason why you have us,
16	is in this independent auditor's report. This is the most
17	important thing. And when you get this report, it's paged
18	(audio glitch) where all eyes typically go to the opinion
19	paragraph. A few years ago they changed this so that's
20	easier to read. It didn't have these bold-faced section
21	like it does now. So I think that was good. But a lot of
22	times people probably just skipped reading all of this
23	except for the opinion page or a paragraph on page two.
24	And it's where we are giving our opinion.
25	If you go to page two, flip to the next page.
26	Stating right there at the top that the financial
	I I

	PROCEEDING 8
1	statements that we are auditing, as referenced in page
2	one, are material in all respects, the respective
3	statement activities and cash flows. So everything was
4	okay. So everything that would pass you know, you
5	either fail or pass this. So this is, what we're saying
6	is it was an unqualified opinion here, in all material
7	respects. Meaning that we don't look at everything and in
8	any audit, you don't look at everything. But everything
9	material, we believe that we can give an opinion that's an
10	unqualified opinion that everything is presented fairly.
11	So that is the number one thing. I mean there
12	are disclaimers where you say, hey, I can't (audio glitch)
13	opinion. Or there's a qualified opinion saying hey, we
14	can't it's okay except for. So this was an actual
15	unqualified opinion. It's the best opinion CPAs can
16	provide.
17	And then the last couple of things there on your
18	report are something similar required by government
19	agencies such as you where we are explaining that we have
20	the letter of internal control. So your financial
21	statements, because you're a governmental agency, you have
22	two different reports, auditor report. At the tail end,
23	you have a required internal control or financial
24	reporting letter. So that last paragraph is explaining
25	that.
26	And then we also provide other information that
	I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

are not required. It's a financial statement. But we do it as a separate, I guess, a good use to a reader of this financial statement is to have an actual schedule of the loans receivable, Schedule One, page 11, which we'll talk about in a few moments. It's just other information not required we have to provide on page 11 as part of the financial statement. No, it's not a required information. However, it is useful to any reader of your financial statement.

So the good point is and you know, similar to last year, you have an unqualified opinion, again, the highest form of opinion that we can provide. So that is good news for you.

Okay. So if you flip to the next page, statements of financial position. For those of you who more often maybe see it, this would be like a balance. So you have your assets and your liabilities, so the things that you own versus the things that you owe to entities or people. So if you look, your total net assets, because it's the assets minus the liabilities, your net worth per se is \$2.4 million. It went up about \$2,000 -- \$1,700 for the year.

This is comparative. So if you look at the cash, the cash decreased and we'll talk about the statement of cash flows that's on page five in a few moments that actually reconcile what happened. Why did it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

cap down from \$1.7 million to \$900,000? So we'll talk about that in a second on page five for the statement of cash flows.

If you just flip down through there you have, in comparing those two things, the big thing that happened -the two big takeaways that I think happened with LDC this year for you is the grants receivable. That was kind of something different than you have had before. And flip. National Paper & Plastics for you got the grant receivable. And we do have a footnote on that for the \$300,000.

And then I think the other takeaway was, the other big thing is the -- it is kind of big. You got a bad debt write-off in \$40-some thousand I believe. And we used or you had used \$20,000, the full allowance for doubtful accounts. And you've set-up a reserve for potential bad debts. So with that you used that full amount against that so that the impact on your bottom line was less because you had a reserve of \$20,312. And when you used that then you have just remaining, the impact, whatever is in excess of that, that hits the bottom line as a bad debt.

23 So I think, you know, Joe and I talked about it. 24 I think Bethany (phonetic). When I reviewed it, I 25 thought, gosh, you know, are they going to need another 26 reserve? And in talking with Joe and the historical non-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

bad debt write-off, we just ended up keeping it as is with no additional reserve. So what happens then, if any of these loans go bad, it's going to completely hit the bottom line and you don't have a reserve.

So I think however you looked at the reserve in the past, \$20,000, even if we reinstated that \$20,000, so much more could go wrong. Right? We can't really depend on that. But I think Joe was thinking that, you know, even though there's no one in default, we got great confirmations back from all the parties that we sent confirmations back. But, you know, with COVID, there's just always a lot of uncertainty, which we have a footnote for this year. So I think that's where we kind of had that conversation with Joe as part of the audit.

Then we have the liability section where we have the compensated absences that I spoke of earlier, paid time off, accruals, because as of 12/31, for all employees, there was paid time off that was accrued or rightfully theirs for when they take it. So that's a liability that we have set up in the liability section.

And then last year, I didn't present this to you. But last year, it's the first year, in 2019, that our regulatory bodies changed the way that not-for-profits and government agencies such as yours would present the financial statements and the net assets. It used to be split out by permanently restricted, unrestricted, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

temporarily restricted. And they categorized that down and changed that for 2019 to two different categories, with restrictions and without restrictions. And most, all of your assets, your net assets are with restrictions because they're put into providing loans and having those dollars available for future loans that you're going to insure.

If you flip to page four, this is really -- the statement of activities is really just the income statement. So we have operating revenues up above, not too different from last year by any stretch. And then the operating expenses, really the big change - there is that provision for loan losses, so the one writing off (sounds like) of the loans. So I think that was the big change, I guess, in the operating expenses, which ended having your change in net assets being so much less than last year. But really kind of mainly the difference is the write off. And then of course you had less interest. I think there was some deferment of interest, et cetera. So I think that changed as well.

So your change in net assets, it was \$1,700 positive versus last year, \$26,000. So that net income or profit gets added to whatever your net asset was last year and then rolls to page three, which we just looked at for the balance sheet. So that's the reason why it's only \$1,700 difference. But that's the reason why your net

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

assets increased from last year to this year.

Okay. So if you flip to page five, we have the statement of cash flows. And I said that your cash had decreased from \$1.7 million down to \$900,000. And really, those first few lines explained, well, where did our cash go? So really, a big chunk of it, of course, went for additional loans this year of \$662,500 as well as the grant of \$300,000. So really, that's the big thing. You did receive cash back from those you've loaned money to. But that was only \$138,000. So that's why your net cap decreased by that significant amount.

All right, then if you go to page six. This is where our footnote starts. I guess I'll just hit the big areas or differences, I guess, if there's any questions last year for 2019. We had to institute the revenue recognition which you've probably heard of in other facets of your life. But the revenue recognition was a big thing that came in. It really didn't impact you guys too much. Because, of course, it's really mainly it became very confusing. And I guess very difficult to implement for entities that have construction projects. That's really where a lot of that manpower needs to be in order to implement revenue recognition. But we have done that in 2019 when we were required. Of course, because of COVID, they delayed that. But you guys were already implementing. So you're completely up to date with your

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

financial statement presentation related to revenue recognition.

If you look to page seven under the Section H, reserved for doubtful accounts where we talked about that reserve that you had sitting on your books for potential bad debts in the past that we ended up using this year as part of the bad debt write-off. So that's just explaining because of the uncollectable debt of \$40,600, \$20,000 of it was used on a prior year reserve. And of course now that management thinks that there is no additional reserve necessary for the receivables on hand at 12/31.

All right, so if you flip to page eight, which is Note Four, loans receivable. This is just a roll forward that nice chart for you to see where it's giving -- we give it a summary (sounds like) of the new loans which we talked about, the statement of cash flows, repayments of prior loans or current loans, and then the write-offs just to kind of show the roll forward of your loan balance. That's, you know, in essence where all of your cash went for the current years, those new loans you've put out there.

Note Five is the new grant receivable. And I think what we wanted to do there is, you know, we used the document to draft this footnote that you had provided this \$300,000. And there are specific performance measurements that need to be met. And if that doesn't happen, then it

	PROCEEDING 15
1	may convert to a loan at 4 percent interest. I don't
2	think anyone is anticipating that happening. But we had
3	to put that in there because that is in the document. So
4	the balance was \$300,000 at the end of the year.
5	MR. TRICHKA: Hey, Janeen, in Note Four, and I
6	apologize if it seems like a dumb question because I know
7	Gary will know the answer. When we're accounting for the
8	loans, are we accounting for loans committed or loans
9	dispersed?
10	MS. SCHRANN: Loans dispersed.
11	MR. TRICHKA: Loans dispersed. Okay. Thank
12	you.
13	MS. SCHRANN: Yes. I know in your minutes, you
14	know, we read that. There are so many different
15	conversations and agreements and, et cetera, that are in
16	place or protect those. I think that there was something
17	last year, wasn't there? Yes. In Note Three, you had
18	awarded grants. And I think up to this point, no one has
19	really taken those, right, the four \$10,000 grants?
20	MR. MOODY: That's correct. Nobody
21	MS. SCHRANN: Right.
22	MR. MOODY: We have not dispensed any funds for
23	those as of yet.
24	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. All right.
25	MS. SCHRANN: I think that that's all we've
26	found when you're talking about committed. I mean on

	PROCEEDING 16
1	those, on Note Three, we did put that in footnotes where
2	you have committed I guess to those or awarded those four
3	grants but I don't think that they've requested those
4	monies to use yet. Right? But I don't know what are
5	those, Joe. If there's any other situations like that we
6	have to
7	MR. MOODY: COVID delayed some things with some
8	of those four grants that were approved. But three of
9	those are moving forward. And I'm talking to the board
10	today about the fourth one.
11	MS. SCHRANN: Okay. But I don't think there
12	were others similar to that where we would have to
13	footnote it, is that correct?
14	MR. MOODY: That's correct.
15	MS. SCHRANN: Okay. All right. So yeah, Note
16	Four, this is a roll forward of actual loans receivable, I
17	guess despite what you've got on the table for what's
18	going to be coming.
19	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. Got it. Thank you.
20	MS. SCHRANN: And then if you flip to page nine,
21	functional expenses. That was another change that we had
22	to make for 2019, a lot of new changes for not-for-profits
23	and government last year for 2019. So we rolled that in.
24	It's functional expenses. It's kind of crazy that they
25	require this. You really just have to say this is
26	okay, so functional expenses is similar to where people
25	require this. You really just have to say this is

	PROCEEDING 17
1	would give to, let's just say American Red Cross, and
2	everyone wants to know, "Well, hey, how much of my dollar
3	goes to admin versus program services?"
4	So, functional expenses was something that all
5	those not-for-profits that were voluntary health and
6	welfare kind of entities tried to provide. But companies
7	such as yourself, the LDC, did not have to do that,
8	because you weren't a voluntary health and welfare. You
9	were just a not-for-profit where you were loaning out
10	funds.
11	However, our regulatory bodies decided that they
12	wanted or it was required, as of '19, in order to show
13	that. So that a reader at any not-for-profit regardless
14	of what their industry is, that they have to do a
15	functional expenses. Of course, it's approximation. But,
16	you know, most of the salaries and our program services,
17	of course, with the matching employee benefits. And then
18	that provision for loan losses, of course, would be a
19	program service. And then pretty much everything else is
20	really a management in general. But that was a new
21	requirement. Again, it's an approximation, estimation.
22	And I think I just wanted to note why that's in there.
23	And the same thing, $0-8$ (sounds like) is another
24	new thing that was required for not-for-profits. There's
25	been a lot of speculation and issues, of course, when you
26	see things in the news. We always heard of Enron and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

WorldCom, et cetera, but there's a lot of stuff that goes
on with not-for-profits governmental agencies. So I think
what our regulatory bodies were doing was really trying to
beef up more disclosures and things, putting it into the
financial statements to spark more conversation amongst
not only the auditors but the board as well.
Liquidity and availability of financial assets,
I think it's just trying to make sure that it's a healthy
organization if people are contributing to not-for-
profite so they may approach (sounds like) you into a lot

profits, so they may approach (sounds like) you into a lot of different things that are required of not-for-profits. And it's just showing how liquid are you and you're showing the cash and any available assets that you've got that you could spend.

So, you know, a lot of your net worth. If you look at your net worth, it's \$2.5 million. However, that's really made up of some loans that are already out there. So it's not like they're liquid. It's not like you can call those loans and say, hey, we need our money back. So this is just identifying for a reader how liquid is this organization.

Note (inaudible), subsequent event, of course, we've got our COVID disclosure. It's standard. But, you know, because it's just an uncertainty, where it says at the very last sentence, "The full economic impacts of COVID are still unknown. And how it may impact you is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

still an unknown. And it's a global crisis that could impact you going forward for the next operating year." So it's just something to put a reader on notice about that during this time period, we're going through a pandemic. We still are.

All right, the last, page 11, Schedule One. Like I said, this is not required for your financial statements. But we present this so that you've got a good, complete roll forward of the loans. If you remember, we talked about why your cash went down so much. Of course, it's those advances. Here's the detail of those advances and what parties got those advances. So you can see for a lendee what their loan balance is and their roll forward from a prior year. And you'll see the write-off there as well.

Okay. And then the last two pages are required for government agencies. It's the internal control over reporting and compliance. It's a standard letter stating that we do have to look at your internal controls. And look at the walkthrough of different -- whether the revenue or cash disbursements. And just stating that, based on everything we have seen, we don't see any concerns.

Of course, a lot of times because the entity is small, do you have the segregation of duties that you always want? No, you don't. But you guys do a great job

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

at having other parties and overseeing and monitoring. I mean this alone, having a board meeting and caring about a presentation, is a very good factor and showing that you do have an internal control of oversight and monitoring which is so very important.

This is the letter similar but a shortened version of what Anne was using in the past for your management letter. But really I was talking to Joe. I didn't like that because it's not a management letter. A management letter is supposed to be a letter to management saying, hey, here's some ideas, or here's some things. So really, that board communication letter that I started my presentation with is definitely closer to a management letter. And like I said, that's what I use on my other government agencies that do have to upload documents to hit specific requirements for their oversight bodies.

And this letter on page 12 and 13, this is the letter that you would see if we found that there were problems in our internal control. Whether it be immaterial or, you know, material. It can be larger like a material weakness. We would have presented it here.

MR. TRICHKA: I think that covered it. Does anyone have any other --

MS. SCHRANN: Too much in detail, but -MR. MOODY: No. That was great. Thank you,
Janeen.

	PROCEEDING 21
1	MR. TRICHKA: Does anybody have any questions
2	for Janeen?
3	MS. SCHRANN: Joe does a great job. You know, a
4	lot of times when you are getting a client from a former
5	partner, like, oh gosh, what am I going to get myself
6	into? But you do have we have a great in-charge on
7	this - Bethany Stewart. She is pretty amazing. She's
8	young but she passed her CPA, I think, the first time she
9	took it. She's a very bright girl. She worked in private
10	all the way through as a part-time bookkeeper for an
11	entity in the local area while she was going to college.
12	So she really learned a lot of accounting while she was
13	going through college, which was great. So she is the
14	person that is on your audit and we worked well together,
15	and I think she works well with Joe. And Joseph does a
16	great job, so it wasn't as scary as it sounded when I
17	first learned I was doing this.
18	MR. MOODY: One thing I want to point out, I
19	made Janeen and Bethany aware of this. Next year, 2021, I
20	already started. The Town will be paying part of our
21	salaries, and part will be paid by CDBG funds. So I'm not
22	sure how that's going to change the financials. But I did
23	want to make Janeen and Bethany aware of that moving
24	forward.
25	MS. SCHRANN: Yeah. Well, I'm just going to
26	I know you don't want to pull that back up but we do have
	I

	PROCEEDING 22
1	it in there. I am aware of that happening with other not-
2	for-profits and government, where there is another body
3	that's related. So there is a section called
4	contributions and grants where we're talking about the
5	amounts of contributed services. I think that would be
6	also added to that, you know, if there is some sharing of
7	the payroll.
8	MR. MOODY: Great. Thank you.
9	MS. SCHRANN: Because it's going to be (audio
10	glitch), you're saying, right?
11	MR. MOODY: I'm sorry. Say that again, Janeen.
12	MS. SCHRANN: It's going to be shared, I guess,
13	by the Town of Union. Is that what
14	MR. MOODY: Yeah. It's based on hours of
15	putting in for CDBG funds, compared to UDAG and Town
16	activities, so, yes, moving forward.
17	MR. GARY LEIGHTON: It's not about a one-third,
18	two-thirds relationship?
19	MR. MOODY: It depends who we're talking about.
20	I'd say Rob is more 50/50. I am more heavily weighted
21	towards the Town portion and less towards CDBG.
22	MR. LEIGHTON: Okay.
23	MR. SHIMER: Yeah. I don't know if I could be
24	50/50, Joe, to be honest. I'd probably be down towards 30
25	or 20.
26	MR. MOODY: That can change depending on the
I	I

	PROCEEDING 23
1	time of the year, too.
2	MR. SHIMER: Okay. Yeah.
3	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. Any other comments?
4	MS. SCHRANN: Are there any questions?
5	MR. TRICHKA: No. Thank you.
6	MR. MOODY: Thank you, Janeen.
7	MALE VOICE: All right. Janeen, thank you very
8	much to you and the team. You and Bethany, you're really
9	appreciated going through this, and it looks good this
10	year.
11	MS. SCHRANN: All right.
12	MR. TRICHKA: Thank you for your effort.
13	MR. MOODY: Thank you. I appreciate
14	MS. SCHRANN: We got some good news yesterday.
15	They're postponing tax season deadline. So that's been
16	very good to hear.
17	MR. MOODY: That's good.
18	MS. SCHRANN: So it was easy to get up for an
19	8:00 AM meeting today.
20	MR. MOODY: Great. Thank you.
21	MS. SCHRANN: All right. So, I guess, we'll
22	issue as we talk about, I guess, Joe, right?
23	MR. MOODY: Yes. So we could submit to public
24	authorities. Yeah. Thank you.
25	MS. SCHRANN: All right. Sounds good. Thank
26	you, everyone. Have a nice day.
I	1

	PROCEEDING 24
1	MR. MOODY: You, too.
2	MALE VOICE: Take care you, too.
3	MALE VOICE: Take care. Thank you.
4	MR. LEIGHTON: You know one thing to point out
5	is really our source of cash comes from loan repayments at
6	this point in time. So that's a little over \$180,000 a
7	year. We're not getting any more CDBG funding without
8	involved in a particular item. So, you know, we may,
9	going forward as you can see, we dropped from \$1,700,000
10	to \$900,000. We may want to be a little bit more
11	conservative on grants. Because once that cash is gone,
12	you're dependent on funding through the CDBG program which
13	has decreased probably every year since I was there.
14	MR. MOODY: I definitely agree with you. The
15	only thing I want to add is the Town was notified that we
16	are receiving, I think, around \$31 million in stimulus
17	monies, and a certain portion of that
18	MR. LEIGHTON: Wow.
19	MR. MOODY: Yeah. A certain portion of that
20	will be used for, and I was going to say this later on,
21	but since Gary brought it up, will be used for we're
22	thinking of economic development activities. We're not
23	sure what that means as of yet, but it can be used for
24	economic development activities but we're waiting for the
25	federal government. I think it's the Treasury Department
26	that is in charge of the stimulus money.
	1

	PROCEEDING 25
1	Rick and the Town Board is waiting to see how
2	these monies can be used. Rick's on right now. He could
3	speak to that if he wants to about the economic
4	development portion of that as what he may know at this
5	point which probably isn't a lot because we didn't get a
6	lot from the Feds. But anyways, Rick, go ahead.
7	MR. RICHARD MATERESE: There is very little that
8	I know. I'm still waiting. The devil's in the details,
9	but I do know that about \$6 million of that money will go
10	to the Village of Endicott and Village of Johnson City,
11	which leaves the Town with \$26 million. Some of it got to
12	be used for some infrastructure, water, and sewer. They
13	suggest using some for expansion of broadband. But it's
14	really up in the air until we get something in writing of
15	what we can use the rest of the money for, and it will be
16	given to us over two years. So we get half of it this
17	year and half of it next. But, unfortunately, I don't
18	have any other details.
19	MR. MOODY: Right. So as we know more,
20	obviously, the LDC will be advised of what we can use this
21	source of funds for. This is based, obviously, on what
22	the Town wants to do with the funds also. But the thought

was that we still know there's business out there, and I know you're saying about grants, but they might be grants to businesses. So, just an FYI as we move forward. But that's substantial money, so hopefully we can assist quite

23

24

25

	PROCEEDING 26
1	a few businesses with that.
2	Now that being said, also, there is still maybe
3	additional CDBG money that could be used for grants that
4	we have at least been in the approval process on our
5	grants through Sara's office, and I'll talk about that
6	later on, also. But I think right now, you probably need
7	to accept this in a motion, Steve, for the audit.
8	MR. TRICHKA: Yeah, yeah. Okay. Before we
9	should vote?
10	MR. MOODY: Yeah.
11	MR. TRICHKA: Okay. So, I guess, if everyone
12	reviewed this, the audit and financial statements for
13	2020. Then, if there is no objection, I'd like a motion
14	to accept the audit as presented today.
15	MR. TIMOTHY STRONG: So moved. This is Tim.
16	MR. MOODY: Tim?
17	MR. LEIGHTON: I second that. This is Gary.
18	MR. TRICHKA: Okay, good enough. And then all
19	in favor?
20	ALL: Aye.
21	MR. TRICHKA: Opposed? None. Thank you. The
22	motion carries.
23	MR. PEDUTO: Steve?
24	MR. TRICHKA: Yes?
25	MR. PEDUTO: Just one quick question before I
26	move on. Maybe either Joe or Gary is in a position to
	I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

26

just kind of give me a little clarification. Assuming we don't get additional funding, and we have the amount that is coming in every year from the loans, what is our net amount that we can really loan out at this point?

MR. MOODY: Whatever is still available in our UDAG and CDBG - we can loan out all that. Now, the issue is, and I was going to talk about this, is we withdrew some of our loans as you see down there. We're under, matter of fact, Sara gave me a call yesterday that because of that CDBG timeliness factor that we've talked about in the past, many times in the past, she wants to see what loans we have in the pipeline, what we have approved, she wants us to spend more money.

Unfortunately, a few of our loans did not come to fruition for one reason or another as you see in the letters that I sent you. So we still have all of our UDAG and that's not part of the timeliness factor, but the CDBG is, and it just got bumped up by about, it looks like \$160,000, because of the two loans that were withdrawn.

So, I don't know if I answered your question, Jim, or not, but there is funds available and it's to the tune of --

23 MR. LEIGHTON: Generally, your cash flow less24 your loans committed.

MR. MOODY: Yes.

MR. LEIGHTON: So loans committed --

	PROCEEDING 28
1	(interposing)
2	MR. PEDUTO: That answered it.
3	MR. LEIGHTON: and the CDBG carry out of
4	which we have this TUBA, in which we have about \$10,000 in
5	there, and the UDAG commitments of \$100,000. So that's
6	\$900,000 minus \$110,000. Any other grants, we probably
7	have to review the grant funds yet, and we don't receive
8	the grant funds until the grants go out the door.
9	MR. PEDUTO: Thank you.
10	MR. MOODY: Yeah. I think, I give you that
11	report every year. The annual report indicates what funds
12	were available prior to these withdrawals.
13	MR. PEDUTO: Okay.
14	MR. MOODY: What we did at the end of the year.
15	Okay. Anyway, Steve, do you want me to go on?
16	MR. TRICHKA: Yeah, over to other business.
17	MR. MOODY: No, we're not yeah. Other
18	business, yes. So the LDC loan portfolio, that's where it
19	indicates what funds are committed, and what funds we
20	still, you know. We've committed the \$40,000 in the UDAG
21	funds for the grant, and that \$10,000 still outstanding
22	for the Pack & Mail. And then we got the \$100,000 for the
23	OM Shirdi which is the façade loan for the former Red
24	Carpet Inn.
25	That's the only thing we have outstanding as of
26	the moment. As you recall, the grants that we approved,

	PROCEEDING 29
1	those funds were never on our books. That's all going
2	through Sara's office and those are the urgent need
3	grants. We approved them but it goes with the Town
4	Planning Department.
5	MR. PEDUTO: Okay.
6	MR. LEIGHTON: What's the hold-up, you know,
7	with these guys? These grants were approved a number of
8	months ago.
9	MR. MOODY: Like I said to Janeen, it's really
10	the COVID. COVID held up quite a bit of it. But also we
11	got - I will talk to you about that if you want me - to go
12	right into can we first go through the discussion
13	withdrawal letters?
14	MR. TRICHKA: Yeah.
15	MR. MOODY: Okay. So Slavik & Co., you saw the
16	letter there that we withdrew the funds. And it just was
17	not cost effective for him to continue with our loan, if
18	you will. The Triple City Towing, they ran into some
19	issues with the land acquisition. It became too
20	cumbersome for them to move forward at that location. The
21	Greek House Restaurant, that was the Ndarp loan. They
22	ended up getting the grant from us. Prior to that, they
23	weren't going to take our loan with us because they think
24	they had SBA PPP loan or grant money/loan money. So they
25	weren't interested in our loan at that time.
26	Same along those lines, going on to discussion
l	l l

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

26

pending grant withdrawals. I did speak to our other Ndarp loan that was approved for Pack & Mail Endwell. I spoke maybe a few weeks ago with the gentleman. He goes, oh, it's still available? Maybe I'll send an email to take advantage of it. And I've never received anything. So my recommendation is that we send them a withdrawal letter. I'm not going to continue to try to pursue this. Obviously, he is not interested. He never sent me the email. So that would be my recommendation, and I will move forward with that.

The other two grants that we're withdrawing for the Diane Testani, and this is just our commitment. It does not affect our bottom line because that money is not on our books. That was the COVID-urgent need grant that I just talked about. And Nick Scolaro for That's a Wrap. Neither one of those could really take advantage of the grant as they felt they should have based on what the requirements are through CDBG/HUD. So both of those, we have withdrawn from the program.

Every one of the other grants, according to Sara, are all moving forward with paying expenses, et cetera. So I think out of the, I think it was 21 we assisted, 19 have moved forward. Okay?

MR. TRICHKA: That's a good record, Joe. MR. MOODY: Yeah. I think it's a very good record. So let me think. I think that was it with that.

	PROCEEDING 31
1	Did somebody have a question?
2	MALE VOICE: What about - Joe, I'm sorry - Fat
3	Cat Comics?
4	MR. MOODY: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry. Fat Cat
5	Comics, yes, so that is one of our GAP loan or grants.
6	That's on our books. We have \$40,000 of that, as you
7	could see under the UDAG. That sale fell through.
8	Actually, the owner of Fat Cat was approached by somebody
9	from Downstate who was moving up here. And I guess, Mr.
10	Wayne Evans had a difficult time finding the private
11	lender financing to get approved in time, and she sold it
12	to another entity. So he is out of the picture with that.
13	All we have left is Johnson City Coffee
14	Roasting. I spoke to the gentlemen yesterday, Tom
15	Sheredy, and they're still moving forward with it. They
16	had some issue with a partnership. I know Rose has been
17	on top of this with their attorney, also. I expect that
18	to close in the next couple of months or so, hopefully,
19	sooner.
20	Seneca Spine (phonetic), I don't have a lot of
21	information on that. Mindful Wealth and Wellness, I think
22	they were just about done with the construction. They
23	emailed me. I said I have to do a walkthrough. I gave
24	them Rose Pope's contact information. I don't know if
25	they reached out to Rose as of yet. But I still have to
26	do the tour to make sure the work was done as agreed.

	PROCEEDING 32
1	That's it.
2	Seneca, I'll do a follow-up with them. I'm not
3	sure where they stand right now to be honest with you.
4	They may be one of the ones that were affected by COVID,
5	most of them were. Mindful Health, everything was sort
6	of shut down, construction and all that was delayed.
7	Johnson City Coffee Roasting, same with them, too, they
8	weren't going to open up in the middle of the pandemic
9	really. So it is what it is at this point.
10	MS. ROSEMARIE POPE: Joe, it's Rose.
11	MR. MOODY: Yeah.
12	MS. POPE: Yesterday, I spoke with (audio
13	glitch), and see if they're ready to move forward with
14	their sending me the due diligence finding, and after your
15	(audio glitch), it's closing.
16	MR. MOODY: Great. Thank you. So that's the
17	update.
18	MR. TRICHKA: All right. So I think the last
19	thing is the financial disclosures?
20	MR. MOODY: Yes. The financial disclosures, Rob
21	and I self-mailed those out to everybody. I received
22	three back so far - Jim, Steve, and Jeff. All others,
23	please give them back as soon as you can. If you need me
24	to stop over, I'd be happy to pick them up except for
25	Gary's, and then
26	MR. LEIGHTON: I'll give that's probably the
	l l

	PROCEEDING 33
1	one you want to get.
2	MR. MOODY: Yeah. There we go.
3	MR. LEIGHTON: Make the trip.
4	FEMALE VOICE: (Interposing)
5	MR. MOODY: What's that? Yeah. But recall, I
6	have to submit those by the 31^{st} , I believe. And they go
7	to the Broome County Board of Ethics. They do not get
8	filed with the Town. They're put in one envelope and it
9	goes to the Broome County Board of Ethics, if you will.
10	But if you can get those to me, that will be great. If
11	you have any trouble, let me know. You could always email
12	in PDF to me if you like. That's okay, too.
13	I think that's it. I did have that other item
14	about the \$31 million in stimulus funds. But since we've
15	already talked about it, I don't really think I have
16	anything at this moment other than that.
17	I do have, just so you're aware because of the
18	timeliness factor with the CDBG funds, I do have two
19	rather large projects. One is recreational that I'm
20	hoping that the application will be forthcoming. We're
21	having a meeting with the lender and myself and the
22	applicant next week. So, hopefully, that will be
23	forthcoming.
24	Now, just to let you know, Sara's timeliness
25	factor is all based on the end of July. I don't know if
26	we could close anything by the end of July, or even if we

	PROCEEDING 34
1	approve it in April, especially if it's a construction
2	project. Maybe acquisition alone, building acquisition
3	possibly. So stay tuned on that. But I want to make you
4	aware of that.
5	MR. LEIGHTON: What's new on that motel down by
6	the golf course?
7	MR. MOODY: That's the \$100,000 commercial
8	façade that we have approved. As a matter of fact, I was
9	having a meeting with Rakesh yesterday, but he had to
10	cancel for an emergency. He was coming up from New
11	Jersey. He told me he's moving forward as his contractors
12	are getting their workers' comp insurance, because they
13	are from New Jersey and apparently they don't need it in
14	New Jersey or something like that. So it has to be
15	provided up to New York State. So he is saying he's
16	starting by the end of the month. So we'll see. He's
17	been given the dates of the Champions tour, and he said he
18	want to be operational prior to that. I imagine he's got
19	a crew that will come right up and bust things up. But
20	that's just sort of speculation as of the moment.
21	Nothing's been decided. He might be going
22	forward with this funding on his own, unless the Tioga
23	State Bank which is now reconsidering his original
24	approval. But if anything financially changes, I'll bring

it back to the board, obviously, before we close any loan. That's all I have.

25

	PROCEEDING 35
1	MR. TRICHKA: All right, any last thoughts from
2	anybody before we close out? I appreciate everybody's
3	time today and know it will take a while to get through
4	the audit. But we only do it once a year. So I think
5	we're all set. All right, are there any other comments?
6	May I have a motion to adjourn?
7	MR. PEDUTO: So moved. Jim.
8	MR. MOODY: Jim. Second?
9	MS. DIANE JULIAN: Diane. Second.
10	MR. TRICHKA: Thank you, Diane. All in favor?
11	ALL: Aye.
12	MR. TRICHKA: Opposed? All right, the motion
13	carries. Thanks everybody for your time this morning.
14	We're getting through that. Looking forward to hearing,
15	Joe, what happens with that monster grant coming in, and
16	how much we can access them.
17	MR. MOODY: So am I, and Rick and I are all over
18	this. Rick said I'd be his first call. Right, Rick?
19	MR. TRICHKA: We're going to hold you to that
20	Rick. All right.
21	MR. MOODY: All right. Thank you, Tim. I
22	appreciate you hosting it again. Thank you, Tim. Okay.
23	MS. JULIAN: Have a good day.
24	MR. MOODY: Thank you.
25	(Off the record)
26	
I	

	PROCEEDING 36
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Ria Jara, certify that the foregoing transcript of
3	proceedings in the Union Town LDC Board Meeting, Broome County,
4	State of New York, was prepared using digital transcription
5	software and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
6 7	
8	Ria Jara
9	Signature
10	Date: April 1, 2021